

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,084	06/27/2003	Yutaka Nagayama	030738	5414
WESTERMA	WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036	EXAMINER SAETHER, FLEMMING		
SUITE 700		ART UNIT 3677	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	· •

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10	0/607,084	NAGAYAMA, YUTAKA	Δ / <u>(</u>
Office Action Summary		aminer	Art Unit	
	Fle	mming Saether	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears	on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within m statutory period will app r reply will, by statute, cause poths after the mailing date.	In no event, however, may a rathe statutory minimum of third ly and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
Status	,			
 Responsive to communication(s This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the p 	2b)⊠ This actionition for allowance e	xcept for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the med . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected t 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re	is/are withdrawn fro			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to b 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected	are: a) ☐ accepted objection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyand required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	f: rity documents have rity documents have ies of the priority do ational Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in Ap cuments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	÷
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	w (PTO-948) 9 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Su	mmary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 5-	-24-04

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Objections

Claims 8, 9 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 8, a "protrusion" is a double inclusion to the pawls claimed in claim 2; likewise, in claim 9, a "plurality of pawls" is also a double inclusion; and finally, in claim 13, the "octagonal shape" is also a double inclusion. It appears that the above referenced claims may have been intended to only dependent from only claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Leistner (US 6,183,181). Leistner discloses a T-nut having a cap at the end including the flange. Leistner further provides a space between the cap and the threads.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3677

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leistner as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Vermillion (US 5,609,351). Leistner does not disclose the cap formed as a single piece with the remainder of the nut. Vermillion discloses a T-nut (10) having a capped end (see Fig. 6) formed as a single piece with the remainder of the nut. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the cap of Leistner as a single piece as disclosed in Vermillion in order to facilitate manufacture. The single piece nut and cap would not require the separate cap and the installation thereof thus making for more efficient manufacturing.

Claims 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leistner. The examiner takes notice that the various configurations of the T-nut as claimed are known each having its' own advantages for particular applications as exemplified in multitude of prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Flemming Saether Primary-Examiner Art Unit 3677